



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/612,971	07/10/2000	Jae-seong Shim	1293.1128/MJB	9406
21171	7590 05/27/2003			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
700 11TH STI SUITE 500	REE1, NW	TU, CHRISTINE TRINH LE		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	d
• Advison	Advisory Action	09/612,971	SHIM ET AL.	
7,47,007	7.00.011	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christine T. Tu	2133	
The MAILING DAT	E f this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
Therefore, further action by t final rejection under 37 CFR	the applicant is required to av 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 a timely filed Notice of Appea	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply high places the applicat	to a
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
b) The period for reply exp no event, however, will t ONLY CHECK THIS BC 706.07(f).	the statutory period for reply expire I OX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for p fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calcula (2) as set forth in (b) above, if chec	ourposes of determining the period of ated from: (1) the expiration date of	date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final (opriate extension Office action: or
37 CFR 1.192(a), or a	ny extension thereof (37 CFF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. ☑ The proposed amend	ment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new iss	sues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issu	ue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) ☐ they are not deen issues for appeal;		n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) X they present add	itional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	5 .
NOTE: See Cont	inuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has o	overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or am canceling the non-allo	nended claim(s) <u>35-39</u> would bwable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) application in conditio	☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for n for allowance because: <u>Se</u>	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit raised by the Examine	will NOT be considered becarrin the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appea explanation of how the	al, the proposed amendment e new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim	n(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:		•		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9				
		to that these claims have not been	entered).	
		a) approved or b) disapp		ner
		nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		(1)((1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 •	
			Christine T. Tu Primary Examiner	m
Palent and Trademark Office			Art Unit: 2133	

Į





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The phrase "wherein a burst error is corrected in an HD-DVD" in claim 1 and the element "HD-DVD" in claim 15 raise new issues in the claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejection. Therefore, the rejection statnds.